

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVI		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/796,584	03/08/2004	Clark R. Baker JR.	TYHC:0149/FLE (P0409R)	TYHC:0149/FLE (P0409R) 1106		
52144	7590 07/14/2006		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
FLETCHER YODER (TYCO INTERNATIONAL, LTD.) P.O. BOX 692289 HOUSTON, TX 77269-2289			RAMIREZ, JOH	RAMIREZ, JOHN FERNANDO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		•	3737			
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/796,58		BAKER, CLARK R.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		John F. R		3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>Marh 27, 2006</i> .		•				
'=	nis action is FINAL . 2b) \(\infty\) This action is non-final.							
3)□	· -							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🔲	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	•			eu iii tiiis Nationa	i Staye			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:								

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

After a review of applicant's remarks, all necessary changes to the claims have been entered.

Newly submitted claims 23-27 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claim 23 is a device for measuring physiological parameters, claims 24-26 are withdrawn to a method of using a device to determine oxygen saturation of blood, and claim 27 is a method of manufacture a device for venous pulsation detection.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 23-27 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Applicant's arguments, filed on March 27, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-4, 6-16 and 18-22 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new rejection has been made in view of newly found prior art reference in order to expedite the prosecution of this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diab et al. in view of Chin et al. (US 6,018,673) and in further view of Mortz (US 6,987,994).

Diab et al., teaches all the limitations of the claimed subject matter except for mentioning specifically the steps of indicating the presence of venous pulsation to a caregiver, obtaining a measure of a phase difference comprises obtaining a measure of the openness of an ellipse on a Lissajous plot formed by comparing the first electromagnetic radiation signal against the second electromagnetic radiation signal.

However, the steps of indicating the presence of venous pulsation to a caregiver, obtaining a measure of a phase difference comprises obtaining a measure of the openness of an ellipse on a Lissajous plot formed by comparing the first electromagnetic radiation signal against the second electromagnetic radiation signal are considered conventional in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Chin et al. (US 6,018,673) and Mortz (US 6,987,994).

The Mortz patent teaches the step of indicating the presence of venous pulsation to a caregiver (see fig. 1, element 108). Moreover, the Chin et al. patent shows in

Art Unit: 3737

Figures 1, 2, 8A-8D and 9A-9B, the step of obtaining a measure of a phase difference comprises obtaining a measure of the openness of an ellipse on a Lissajous plot formed by comparing the first electromagnetic radiation signal against the second electromagnetic radiation signal.

Based on the above observations, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, modifying the method disclosed by Diab et al., with the above discussed enhancements would have been considered obvious because such modifications would have improved a method to determine the amount of oxygen in arterial blood, as distinguished from venous blood or the tissue itself.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John F. Ramirez whose telephone number is (571) 272-8685. The examiner can normally be reached on (Mon-Fri) 7:30 - 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,584 Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFR 06/26/06

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700